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#### Abstract

Cationic methyl-palladium complexes, containing chelating N-O, P-O and P-O-N ligands, of the type \{[PdMes (Y-OM]BF $\}$ ansd $\left\{[\mathrm{PdMe}(\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{N})] \mathrm{BF}_{4}\right\}\left[\mathrm{Y}-\mathrm{O}=\right.$ methylpicolinate, $\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{PPh}_{3}, \mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{PCH}_{2} \mathrm{COOEr} ; \mathrm{Y}-\mathrm{O}=\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{PCH}_{2} \mathrm{COOEL}_{1} \mathrm{~L}=\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$. 2,6-letidene; $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}-$ $\mathrm{N}=$ diphenylphosphine-acetic acid-methyl-2-pyridylester $\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{PCH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\left[\mathrm{NC}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right.\right.$-2) \} have been synthesized. The crystal structare of the complex $\left\{\left[\mathrm{PdMe}(\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right] \mathrm{BF}_{4}\right\}$ indicates distorted square-planar coordination around the palladium centre. The brite angle of the methylpicolinate ligand is small [74.8(4) ${ }^{\circ}$ ] and the $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{N}[2.141(9) \mathrm{A}]$ and $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{O}[2.180(7) \mathrm{A}]$ bonds to the ligand are somewhat elongatod The complexes reart readily with $\mathbf{C O}$ to give the corresponding acyl complexes, and with the exception of $[\mathbb{P d M} /(N \mathbf{N}$ $\left.\mathrm{O}^{2} \mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{PCH}_{2} \mathrm{COOEr}^{2} / \mathrm{BF}_{4}\right\}$ catalyse the reaction between CO and ethene at room temperature to give polyketone.
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## 1. Introduction

There has recently been increased interest in the alternating copolymerisation of olefins with carbon monoxide to form polyketones [1-3]. A terpolymer formed by the co-reaction of the ethene, propene and CO (Carilon ${ }^{\text {© }}$ ) is of particular economic interest, as recently production on a pilot-plant scale has been announced by Shell [4]. Propene and other olefins have been studicd with special attention given to the synthesis of optically active polyketones [5-8].

Diphosphine-containing cationic palladium catalysts have been found to be extremely active catalysts for this

[^0]copolymerisation reaction [2]. $\mathrm{N} \wedge \mathrm{N}$ ligands have also received much attention, especially in studies on the mechanism of the copolymerisation reaction [2,9-12]

As part of our ongoing investigation into the catalytic activation of small molecules shrough $d^{8}$ transition metal complexes, we investigated ligand influences on the carbonylation reaction and the intramolecular olefin insertion reaction of hydrocarbyl-palladium(II) and platinum(II) complexes [13-16]. The complexes sturdied contained posentially hemilabile anionic bidentate N ^ O ligands, such as pyridine-2-carboxylate (pyca) (A). Subsequent to these modelling studies, a number of nickel(II) analogues were prepared and found to be active single component catalysts for ethene oligomerisation and polymerisation as well as ethene-CO copolymerisation [17]. Interestingly, these complexes A bear a resemblance to the neutral nickeKII) complexes, such as $B$ with anionic bidentate $P^{\wedge} O$ ligands developed by

Keim and coworkers [18,19], which are active olefin oligomerisation catalysts.

$\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Ni} ; \mathbf{R}=0-\mathrm{tohy}$
$M=$ Pd: $\mathrm{F}=\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{Ph}$
$B A=P T ; R=N A, E t$

Cationic transition metal alkyl complexes have been
found to be much more reactive than neutral complexes in olefin or CO insertion reactions [20]. Therefore we were interested in cationic analogues to the complexes mentioned above. In this paper we present the synthesis of novel cationic methylpalladium(II) complexes (3a$4 b$ ) with potentially hemilabile $\mathrm{N}^{\wedge} \mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{P}^{\wedge} \mathrm{O}$ ligands (Scheme 1). The reaction of the complexes $\mathbf{3 a}-4 \mathrm{~b}$ with carbon monoxide is described and the catalytic properties in the co-reaction of ethene with carton monoxide have been investigated.

Tridentate ligands with three different donors $\mathbf{P}, \mathrm{N}$ and $\mathbf{O}$ are currently receiving attention in coordination chemistry [21-23] and catalysis [24-26]. However, there
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Scheme 1.
are no examples in which complexes containing such ligands have been applied as catalysts in polyketone formation. Consequently, in addition to complexes 3a, 3 h and 4 a with $\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{N} \wedge \mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{P} \wedge \mathrm{O}$ ligand systems, we synthesized complex 5a, with a novel, potentially tridentate, $\mathrm{P} \wedge \mathrm{O} \wedge \mathrm{N}$ ligand diphenylphosphino acetic acid methyl-2-pyridylester (1) (Scheme 1). The ordering of donor atoms in the tridentate ligand was selected as this follows exactly that order (NOP) present in the previous neutral complexes A [13-17] and cationic compounds of type 3a and 3b.

## 2. Results and discussion

### 2.1. Preparation of ligands and complexes

Diphenylphosphinoacetic acid methyl-2-pyridylester $\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{PCH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\left(\mathrm{NC}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4}-2\right) 1$ was prepared from diphenylphosphino acetic acid and 2-pyridylcarbinol using the DCC/DMAP (DCC = dicyclohexylcarbodiimide; DMAP $=$ 4-dimethylaminopyridine) method [27]:


The dimeric chloro-bridged methylpalladium(II) complexes 2 am - were prepared according to reaction (2), as described by Ladipo and Anderson [28]. MePdCI(COD) was reacied with one equivalent of phosphine ligand in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. In the case of $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{PCH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\left(\mathrm{NC}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4}-2\right)$ 1, the resulting complexes (2a and 2 c respectively) precipitated after stirring for half an hour. These two complexes are insoluble in apolar solvents and only sparingly soluble in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$, acetone or $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$, in contrast to the solubilities of 2a stated elsewhere [28,29]. Complex 2b with $\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{PCH}_{2} \mathrm{COOEt}$ as the ligand is very soluble in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$. In spite of the different solubility observed, we propose a sinilar dimeric structure for 2 b ; NMR and IR data do not support coordination of the carbonyl oxygen (vide supra).


The addition of two equivalents of methylpicolinate to a suspension of the dimeric complex $\left[\mathrm{MePdPP}_{3} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right.$
(2a) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at room temperature did not result in bridge-splitting as found for other ligands [30]. Abstraction of the chloro ligand to allow coordination of the methylpicolinate ligand was necessary and was achieved by addition of $\mathrm{AgBF}_{4}$ (Scheme 1). NMR spectra revealed that only one isomer of complex 3 a was obtained. This isomer was shown by an X-ray diffraction study to have the tripacnylphosphine ligand trans to the pyridyl moiety (Section 2.4).

The same procedure described for the formation of complex 3a was used to prepare complexes 3 and 4a-b starting from the compound $\left[\mathrm{MePdCl}\left(\mathrm{PH}_{2} \mathrm{PCH}_{2} \mathrm{COOEt}\right)\right]_{2}$ (2b) (Scherire 1). Addition of 2,6 -lutidine or methylpicolinate to $\mathbf{2 b}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ caused a colour change from orange to yellow. After the addition of $\mathrm{AgBF}_{4}$, complexes 3 h and 4 sm were isolated as yellow solids. Addition of $\mathrm{PF}_{3}$ to a solution of 2 b in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ resulted in an off-white precipitate, probably $\left[\mathrm{MePdPPh}{ }_{3} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}{ }_{2} 2 \mathrm{a}\right.$, due to a phosphine exchange reaction [30]. Subsequent reaction of the sharry with $\mathrm{AgBF}_{4}$, however, gave complex th as a deep red solid in almost quantitative yield.

The reaction of $\mathrm{AgBF}_{4}$ with a suspension of complex 2 c in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ gave, after filtration to remove AgCl , a clear pale yellow solution of complex 5a. After removal of the solvent, however, the resulting off-white precipitate could only be partly redissolved. This is probably due to the formation of dimeric or oligomeric species, with the tridentate ligand acting as a bridging ligand. Only the monomeric species Sa dissolves. From NMR, IR, MS and microanalysis data the structure drawn in Scheme 1 is proposed for 5 a When the reaction of $2 c$ with $\mathrm{AgBF}_{4}$ was conducted in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ complex, $5 \mathfrak{b}$ having one $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ligand coordinated per palladium was obtained. Complex $\mathbf{5 h}$ is insoluble in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ or $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ but can be dissolved in warm $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$. A monomeric complex with ligand 1 adopting a bidentate coordination mode is possible. However, such a structure would have an eight-membered ring. More likely is the proposed dinuclear complex as depicted in Scheme 1 , with 1 acting as a bridging $P^{\wedge} \wedge$ ligand. Similar observations have been made for other phosphimepyridine and tiphosphine ligands which form large rings on coordination to a single metal $[23,31,32]$.

### 2.2. Carbonylation reactions of methylpalladium complexes $3 a-4 b$

The methylpalladium(II) complexes 3a-4b are readily carbonylated at room temperature to give the corresponding acetyl complexes $\mathbf{6 a - 7 b}$ (Scheme 2). Carbon monoxide was bubbled for 5 min through a solution in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ in a NMR tube. The NMR and $\mathbb{R}$ spectroscopic data for the resulting acetyl complexes were recorded and a selection of these data is given in Tables 1 and 2. Noteworthy is a broadening of some of the methylpicol-


Scheme 2.
inate ligand signals of the acetyl complex 6a upon heating to $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The broadening can be explained by a fast exchange of the coordination positions on the NMR timescale, i.e. an isomerisation of the acetyl complexes. This process is known to occur for square-planar complexes [9,33].

For complex 3 a the carbonylation rate was determined by monitoring the formation of the acetyl complex from the decay of the $\mathrm{PdCH}_{3}$ and increase of the $\mathrm{PdCOCH}_{3}{ }^{\mathbf{3}} \mathrm{H}$ NMR signals. Under the conditions used $\left(T=253 \mathrm{~K},[3 \mathrm{a}]=18 \mathrm{mmoll}^{-1}\right.$ in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, P(\mathrm{CO})=$ 1 bar) the rate constant observed was $k^{\prime}=9.5 \times$ $10^{-4} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\left(t_{1 / 2}=12 \mathrm{~min}\right)$. The rate constant for the carbonylation of the related neutral palladium( I ) complex $\mathrm{McPdPPh}_{3}$ (pyca) (pyca $=2$-pyridine carboxylate) was also determined under the same conditions and found to be faster, i.e. $k^{\prime}=25.9 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} \quad\left(t_{1 / 2}=4.5 \mathrm{~min}\right)$. Similar observations and comparative reaction rates have been reported for neutral and cationic methylpalladium(II) complexes containing bidentate pvridyl-imine ligands [34]. The fact that the neutral complex $\mathrm{MePdPPh}_{3}$ (pyca) does not catalyse the copoly-
merisation of ethene and CO, whereas the cationic analogue does, indicates that the carbonylation step has little bearing on the overall catalytic activity, i.e. carbonylation is a facile process compared with the coordination and insertion of ethene. Moreover, the carbonylation rate for complex $\mathbf{4 b}$ was too fast to be determined under the conditions used ( $t_{1 / 2}<3 \mathrm{~min}$ ), whereas the catalytic activity in the copolymerisation reaction is lower than for complex 3a.

### 2.3. Spectroscopic studies

### 2.3.1. IR spectroscopy (Table 1)

In the $I R$, coordination of the ester moiety of a carboxylic acid ester ligand results in a shift of $\nu(\mathrm{C}=\mathbf{0})$ to lower wavenumbers. For phosphinocarboxylic acid ester ligands $\Delta \nu(C=O)=80-100 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ [35]. From the IR data for free ligand [36] and for the complex 3a, two resulting shift $\Delta \boldsymbol{v}(\mathrm{C}=0)$ for the methylpicolinate ligand is $50-60 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ upon chelation. IR data in Table 1 show that in complexes $4 \mathrm{a}\left[\Delta \nu(\mathrm{C}=0)=94 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right]$ and $4 \mathrm{~b}\left[\Delta \nu(\mathrm{C}=0)=97 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right]$ the $\mathrm{P}^{\wedge} \mathrm{O}$ ligand acts as a bidentate ligand. In complex 3b, however, the methylpicolinate ligand is the stronger chelating ligand, leaving the $\mathrm{P}^{\wedge} \mathrm{O}$ ligand as a monodentate ligand.

The difference between the IR stretching frequency [ $\Delta \nu(\mathrm{C}=0)$ ] for complex 5 a and the free ligand 1 is $76 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, indicating that the carbonyl oxygen is coordinated to the palladium centre in 5 a . For complex 5 b , with $\Delta \nu(\mathrm{C}=0)=-5 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, it is apparent that the carbonyl oxygen remains uncoordinated. The negative value is ascribed to the different solvent effects of $\mathbf{C H}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$.

### 2.3.2. NMR spectroscopy (Table 2)

With the exception of complex 4 b , the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of complexes $\mathbf{2 b} \mathbf{b} \mathbf{5 h}$ show the palladium methyl

Table 1
IR dasa $[\nu(C=O)]$ for complexes $2 b-7 b$ a

| Compound | $\nu(\mathrm{C}=0) / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ (free ligand) | $\nu(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}) / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ (complex) | $\Delta \nu(C=0) / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ | $\nu(\mathrm{C}=0) / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}(\mathrm{PdCOM})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 23 | 1732 | 1728 | 4 |  |
| 3a | 1726 | 1671 | 55 |  |
| 36 | 1726 (COOMe) | 1669 | 57 |  |
|  | 1732 (COOEt) | 1731 | 1 |  |
| 49 | 1732 | 1638 | 94 |  |
| 4 | 1732 | 1635 | 97 |  |
| Sa | 1731 | 1655 | 76 |  |
| 5b | 1731 | 1736 ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | -5 |  |
| 6 | 1726 | 1673 | 53 | 1721 |
| 6 | 1726 (COOMe) | 1673 | 53 | 1715 |
|  | 1732 (COOEt) | 1726 | 6 |  |
| 7a | 1732 | 1641 | 91 | 1710 |
| 7 | 1732 | 1639 | 93 | 1714 |

[^1]Table 2
Selected ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ and ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR data for complexes $\mathbf{2 b}-7 \mathrm{~b}$ *

| Compound | TH NMR $\delta\left(\mathrm{PtC} \mathrm{H}_{3}\right.$ or $\left.\mathrm{P} 4 \mathrm{COCH}_{3}\right)$ $\left(\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{HP}}\right.$ in Hz$)$ | $\begin{aligned} & { }^{13} \mathrm{CNMR}^{2} \\ & \delta(\mathrm{PdCH} \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & { }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR} \\ & 8(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{PaCOCH} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & { }^{13} \mathrm{C} \text { NMR } \\ & 8(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{COOR} \\ & \left(J_{\mathrm{CP}} \text { is } \mathrm{Hz}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & { }^{35} P \text { NMR } \\ & 5\left(J_{F F} \text { 泿 } \mathrm{Hz}\right) \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2b | 0.65 (d, 2.5) | 6.70 |  | 168.3 | 27.7 |
| 3 l | 1.09 (d, 1.4) | 5.74 |  | 171.3 | 35.8 |
| 3b | 1.02 (d, l. 8 ) | 4.26 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 170.1(R=M e) \\ & 167.9(R=E t) \end{aligned}$ | 26.3 |
| 4 | 0.40 (d, 3.3) | -0.07 |  | 173.9 | 24.2 |
| 4 b | 0.70 ( $\mathrm{L}, 5.8$ ) | 0.56 |  | 181.6 (15) | $\begin{aligned} & 24.5 .16 .6 \\ & (381) \end{aligned}$ |
| 53 | 0.68 (d, 2.1) | 0.42 |  | $1802^{\text {b }}$ | $27.7{ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| 5b | 0.52 (d, 3.0) ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | $0.21{ }^{\text {c }}$ |  | $170.3{ }^{\text {c }}$ | $32.1{ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| 6 | 2.25 (d, t.7) | 35.6 (d, 9.8) | 221.0 | 170.3 | 24.2 |
| 6b | 2.24 | 36.2 (d, 15) | 221.3 | $\begin{aligned} & 168.8(R=\mathrm{Me}) \\ & 168.0(R=\mathrm{E}) \end{aligned}$ | 145 |
| 7a | 1.80 | 37.0 (d, 24) | 222.5 | 172.7 | 12.4 |
| 7b | 1.70 ( 5 , 1.7) | 36.6 (d. 18) | 219.4 | 179.1 (13) | $\begin{aligned} & 17.6 .6 .61 \\ & (245) \end{aligned}$ |

${ }^{2}$ In $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$.
${ }^{b}$ In $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$.
${ }^{c}$ In $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$.
resonance, which appears between 0.4 and 1.1 ppm , as a doublet due to coupling with the phosphorus atom in the cis position. For complex 4b this signal appears as a virtual triplet, which can be explained by assuming similar coupling constants with both phosphorus atoms. The $\sigma$-methyl signals of complexes 3a and 3b which contain the chelating $\mathrm{N} \wedge \mathrm{O}$ ligand are shifted downfield by 0.5 ppm compared with complexes 4a and 4 b , and also when compared with complex 5a which has the chelating $P{ }^{\wedge} 0$ ligand. A similar shift of ca. 5 ppm is observed in the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum. Interestingly, the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR signals for the $\sigma$-methyl ligand appear as a singlet with no phosphorus coupling, whereas the acyl methyl group in the acetyl complexes appears as a doublet.
${ }^{31} \mathbf{P}$ NMR resonances for the phosphine ligands are shifted downfield by ca. 40 ppm when coordinated trans to a pyridyl ligand. For complex 4 b the shift for each phosphine ligand is less (ca. 30 ppm ), which must te ascribed to the different trans influence of phosphines. Carbonylation of the alkyl complexes to give acetyl complexes results in a general upfield shift of ca. 10 ppm , indicating significantly different cis effects of the alkyl and acetyl ligands.

### 2.4. Solid-state structure of complex 3a

Crystals of complex 3a suitable for an X-ray structure determination were obtained by slow diffusion of diethylether into a chloroform solution of 3a. Table 3 lists selected bond distances and bond angles and Table 4 provides non-hydrogen atom coordinates. The structure of the cation 3a is presented in Fig. 1.

The complex has the expected square-plasar coordination around the palladium metal. The methyl group is trans to the oxygen donor $[0(21)]$ and cis to the phosphine ligand and the pyridyl nitrogen donor. Comparison of the cationic complex 3a with the analogous neutral complex MePdPPh ${ }_{3}$ (pyca) (pyca $=2$-pynidine carboxylate) shows some interesting differences [37]. The neutral methylpicolinate ligand has a relatively small bite angle of $74.8(4)^{\circ}$ compared with the anionic picolinate ligand [78.22(7) ${ }^{\circ}$ ]. In addition, the $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{N}$ and $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{O}$ distances of $2.141(9)$ and $2.180(7) \mathrm{A}$ respectively are eisngated compared with the neutral complex [2.114(2) A for Pd-N and 2.134(2)A for Pd-O] This indicates a weaker interaction between the $\mathrm{N}^{\wedge} \mathrm{O}$ ligand and the metal centre for complex 3a. In particular, the $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{O}$ bond, which is believed to be the weakest in the complex, is significantly longer. The weaker Pd-O bond does not appear to impact significantly on the

Table 3
Selected boed lengiths (A) and angles (") for complex 3a

| Pd-P(1) | 2.200(3) | C(2)-C(21) | 1.40 ${ }^{\text {(2) }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pd-N(1) | 2.141(9) | C(2)-C(3) | 1.34(2) |
| $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{O}(21)$ | $2.180(7)$ | C(3)-C4) | 1.33(2) |
| Pd-C(0) | 2.02(1) | C(21)-0(21) | 1.22(2) |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | 1.31(2) | (21)-0(22) | 1.30(2) |
| N(1)-C(6) | 1.33(2) | O(22)-C(22) | 1.49(2) |
| P(1)-Pd-N(1) | 174.73) | $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{M}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | 117.0(8) |
| $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}(21)$ | 99.9(2) | $\mathrm{M}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(21)$ | 112(1) |
| P(1)-PH-C(0) | 88.3(3) | C(2)-C(21)-O(21) | 122(1) |
| N(1)-P6-O(21) | 74.8(4) | $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{O}(21)-\mathrm{C}(21)$ | 113.8(9) |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}(0)$ | 96.9(4) | $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{M}(1)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | 129.59) |
| O(21)-Pd-C(0) | 171.5(4) | $\mathrm{O}(21)-\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{O}(22)$ | 123(1) |

Table 4
Now-hydrogen positional and isotropic displacement parameters

| Acom | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | $U_{\text {eq }} \AA^{2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Pd | $0.66630(4)$ | $0.54205(4)$ | $0.56314(6)$ | $0.0626(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{P}(1)$ | $0.7381(1)$ | $0.5431(2)$ | $0.6830(2)$ | $0.058(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(111)$ | $0.7135(6)$ | $0.5940(6)$ | $0.7866(8)$ | $0.063(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(112)$ | $0.6585(5)$ | $0.6386(5)$ | $0.7818(7)$ | $0.059(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(113)$ | $0.6367(6)$ | $0.6763(6)$ | $0.863(1)$ | $0.074(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(14)$ | $0.6681(7)$ | $0.6674(6)$ | $0.9468(9)$ | $0.075(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(115)$ | $0.7245(6)$ | $0.6227(7)$ | $0.9527(8)$ | $0.080(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(16)$ | $0.7457(6)$ | $0.5845(6)$ | $0.8727(9)$ | $0.073(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(121)$ | $0.8220(5)$ | $0.5686(5)$ | $0.6493(8)$ | $0.058(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(122)$ | $0.8607(7)$ | $0.6166(6)$ | $0.7020(8)$ | $0.076(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(123)$ | $0.9255(7)$ | $0.6352(7)$ | $0.668(1)$ | $0.095(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(124)$ | $0.9485(7)$ | $0.60349)$ | $0.584(1)$ | $0.111(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(125)$ | $0.9092(8)$ | $0.5579(8)$ | $0.5335(9)$ | $0.103(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{CC}(126)$ | $0.8473(6)$ | $0.5426(7)$ | $0.5662(9)$ | $0.091(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(131)$ | $0.7442(6)$ | $0.4548(6)$ | $0.7319(7)$ | $0.056(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(132)$ | $0.6886(6)$ | $0.4274(6)$ | $0.7793(8)$ | $0.068(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(133)$ | $0.6873(6)$ | $0.3557(8)$ | $0.8085(8)$ | $0.084(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(134)$ | $0.7409(8)$ | $0.3113(6)$ | $0.785(1)$ | $0.082(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(135)$ | $0.7941(7)$ | $0.3361(7)$ | $0.7384(9)$ | $0.080(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(136)$ | $0.7967(6)$ | $0.4099(6)$ | $0.7107(7)$ | $0.067(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $0.5958(4)$ | $0.5306(6)$ | $0.4491(7)$ | $0.076(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $0.5681(6)$ | $0.4679(8)$ | $0.4381(9)$ | $0.070(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(21)$ | $0.5935(7)$ | $0.4152(8)$ | $0.507(1)$ | $0.094(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(21)$ | $0.6324(4)$ | $0.4319(4)$ | $0.5708(6)$ | $0.075(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(22)$ | $0.5724(6)$ | $0.3501(6)$ | $0.4984(7)$ | $0.145(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(22)$ | $0.597(1)$ | $0.2925(7)$ | $0.562(1)$ | $0.19(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $0.5225(6)$ | $0.4494(8)$ | $0.372(1)$ | $0.092(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $0.4992(9)$ | $0.498(1)$ | $0.311(1)$ | $0.122(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $0.5268(8)$ | $0.569(1)$ | $0.313(1)$ | $0.123(9)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $0.5744(7)$ | $0.5787(8)$ | $0.387(1)$ | $0.108(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(0)$ | $0.6915(6)$ | $0.6445(6)$ | $0.5365(9)$ | $0.097(6)$ |
| B | $0.516(2)$ | $0.774(2)$ | $0.637(2)$ | $0.146(9)$ |
| $\mathrm{F}(1)$ | $0.5371(7)$ | $0.7651(8)$ | $0.725(1)$ | $0.283(9)$ |
| $\mathrm{F}(2)$ | $05393(8)$ | $0.8363(7)$ | $0.6236(8)$ | $0.257(9)$ |
| $\mathrm{F}(3)$ | $0.4542(5)$ | $0.7731(7)$ | $0.6437(7)$ | $0.215(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{F}(4)$ | $0.5419(5)$ | $0.7386(7)$ | $0.576(1)$ | $0.31(1)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |

- Isotropic thernal parameter.
trans $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ bond, as it is of similar length in both complexes [ $2.02(1) \dot{A}$ in the cationic complex versus $2.021(3) A$ in the neutral complex]. The metal to phosphorus distance is significantly shorter in the cationic complex $[2.208(3) \AA]$ compared with that in the neutral complex [2.2303(6) A].


### 2.5. Copolymerisation of ethene and carbon monoxide

Copolymerisation reactions of ethene with carbon monoxide were carried out in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ or $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ under 20 bar each of CO and ethene ( 40 bar total pressure). In $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ each of the complexes $3 \mathrm{a}-5 \mathrm{a}$, with the exception of 3 b , catalyses the copolymerisation of ethene and CO to alternating polyketone. Similar results are obtained in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ (run I vs. run 2). Chloroform was used for experiments at higher temperature. After the given reaction time the polyketone was collected on a frit, dried and weighed. The alternating structure of the
polyketone was confirmed by microanalysis, IR and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR. Keim et al. [38] have recently reported the formation of oligomeric products from CO and ethene. Analysis of the filtrate showed that no oligomeric products were obtained under the reaction conditions used here, possibly due to the higher ratio of CO to ethene ( $20: 20$ bar) employed in our work. In the absence of CO, dimerisation of ethene to butenes occurs. The presence of methanol inhibits the polymerisation reaction with these complexes (run 5), and trace amounts of methylpropionate were detected in the reaction solution. Methylpropionate has also been observed in the presence of methanol, for catalysis with palladium(II) complexes containing monodentate ligands [39].

Catalytic results are listed in Table 5. Catalytic activities are expressed in terms of the turnover number (TON), the total number of moles of substrate (CO and ethene) convented per mole of catalyst during the experiment. Results are also expressed in the unit gram polyketone per gram palladium. $\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{PK}) / \mathrm{g}(\mathrm{Pd})$.

Complex 3a, with $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ and the pyridyl ligand in trans positions, gives the best results regarding activity and catalyst stability. Substitution of $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ by the more basic alkyldiphenylphosphine $\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{PCH}_{2} \mathrm{COOEt}$, complex 3b, results in an inactive catalyst. At higher temperatures $\left(60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$ ) only traces of polyketone are formed with complex 3b. This result follows the trend observed in the carbonylation of neutral $\operatorname{Pd}(I I)$ complexes MePdPR $_{3}$ (pyca) (pyca $=2$-pyridine carboxylate). These complexes do not catalyse the copolymerisation reaction, however they can be carbonylated to give acetyl complexes. The carbonylation rate decreases as the basicity of the phosphine ligand is increased, e.g. from $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ to $\mathrm{PEt}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{PCy}_{3}$ [16].

When the pyridyl ligand is not part of a chelate but a monodentate ligand and the $\mathrm{P}^{\wedge} \mathrm{O}$ ligand $\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{PCH}_{2} \mathbf{C O O E t}$ takes on the bidentate coordination mode as in complex 4a, catalytic activity is resumed. A further increase in catalytic activity is obtained when the monodentate pyridyl ligand is replaced by $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$, as in complex 4b. However, comparison of complex 3a and 4 b shows that the $\mathrm{N} \wedge \mathrm{O}$ ligand yields a better catalyst than the $P{ }^{\wedge} O$ ligand, which may be explained in terms of increased stability of complex 3a provided by a stronger chelating $\mathrm{N}^{\wedge} \mathrm{O}$ ligand.

All three ligand donor types are combined in the tridentate $P^{\wedge} \mathrm{O}^{\wedge} \mathrm{N}$ ligand 1 in complex 5 m . The complex shows a catalytic activity somewhere between complex 3a and 4 b and is one of the first examples of a complex with a tridentate ligand demonstrating activity for polyketone formation. However, from runs 14 and 15 it can be seen that the instability of the complex leads to a fast decomposition and catalysis ceases at an early stage. As mentioned previously, in solution complex 5 a forms oligomeric species which are insoluble in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$.


Fig. 1. Projection of the cation of 3a oblique to the coordination plane about the pailadium atom. $20 \%$ thenmal ellipsoita are showar for ble non-hydugen atoms having abbitrary radii of $0.01 \AA$.

Compared with cationic palladium catalysts with bidentate $\mathbf{P}^{\wedge} \mathbf{P}$ ligands, activities of these complexes for polyketone formation are low. However several conclusions can be drawn from these studies which may contribute to a better understanding of the copolymerisation reaction.

Table 5
Ehene/CO copolymerisation results for compleres 38-5a

| Run | Camalyst | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (mmol) } \end{aligned}$ | Reaction conditions ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | Results |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Temperature ( $\left.{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | Time <br> (h) | TON | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{g}(\mathrm{PK}) / \\ & \mathrm{g}(\mathrm{Pd}) \end{aligned}$ |
| 1 | 3a | 0.097 | 20 | 1 | 41 | 21 |
| 2 | $3{ }^{6}$ | 0.093 | 20 | 1 | 42 | 22 |
| 3 | 3. | 0.093 | 20 | 20 | 115 | 60 |
| 4 | 3a' | 0.093 | 80 | 1 | 163 | 86 |
| 5 | 3m ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 0.087 | 20 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 6 | 36 | 0.051 | 20 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 7 | $36^{6}$ | 0.051 | 60 | 1 |  | traces <br> of PK |
| 8 | 4 | 0.054 | 20 | 1 | 7 | 3 |
| 9 | 4 | 0.049 | 60 | 1 | 14 | 6 |
| 10 | 40 | 0.237 | 20 | 20 | 22 | 11 |
| 11 | 46 | 0.103 | 20 | 1 | 18 | 9 |
| 12 | $4 b^{6}$ | 0.093 | 60 | 1 | 35 | 18 |
| 13 | 4b | 0.109 | 20 | 20 | 72 | 38 |
| 14 | 53 | 0.018 | 20 | 1 | 30 | 16 |
| 15 | 53 | 0.10 | 20 | 20 | 40 | 21 |

[^2]For catalytic systems using bidentate ligands it is generally agreed that the catalytic species in the copolymerisation reaction can be represented by structure I, containing a chelate ( $\mathrm{L} \wedge \mathrm{L}$ ), the growing polymer chain (P) and a 'vacant' coordination site ussally occupied by a monomer ligand, i.e. CO or ethene. In the absence of monomer this 'vacant' position may be occupied by a weakly coordinating solvent molecule. The growing polymer chain and the monomer are always cis to each other, which is the most favourable position for migratory insertion reactions.
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I

Complexes 3a-4b do not contain strongly coordinating bidentate ligands. The hemilabile $\mathrm{N}^{\wedge} \mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{P}^{\wedge} \mathrm{O}$ ligands have one weakly coordinating oxygem doanor, which can dissociate during the course of the reaction. There is also evidence to suggest that the monodentate ligand L may also dissociate [14]. Accondingly, the structure of these complexes becomes similar to that of Pd-alkyl complexes with monodentate ligands and can be represented by structure II. A trass orientation of the phosphine/pyridine ligands is preferred, probably
primarily for electronic reasons in that it avoids the unfavourable situation of phosphorus trans to an alkyl group. Consequently, the growing polymer chain and the incoming monomer are also trans to each other. Under catalytic conditions a cis / trans isomerisation is therefore necessary to place the growing chain and monomer cis to each other. Recently we have presented strong evidence for such a mechanism [40].

## 3. Experimental section

### 3.1. General remarks

All reactions and manipulations were carried out under dry, oxygen-fiee nitrogen using standard Schlenk techniques. All solvents were dried and purified by standard methods and freshly distilled before use. $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}^{\prime}$-dicyclohexylcarbodiimide (DCC) was distilled before use. The starting materials MePd(COD)Cl [41], $\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{PCH}_{2} \mathrm{COOH}$ [42], $\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{PCH}_{2} \mathrm{COOEt}$ [43], were synthesized according to known methods and fully characterized by multinuclear NMR and $\mathbb{R}$ spectroscopy.

Nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectra were recorded on a Bruker AM-300 or a Varian Gemini-200 NMR spectrometer. Chemical shifts ( $\delta$ ) are reported in parts per million relative to internal TMS ( ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ ) or external $85 \% \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}\left({ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right.$ ). Infrared (IR) spectra were recorded on a Bruker IFS-66 FTIR spectrometer. $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ solutions were used in the mid-IR range ( $4000-400 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ). Liquid secondary ion mass spectroscopy (LSIMS) measurements were recorded on a Kratos Concept ISQ spectrometer using 10 kV caesium ions as the primary beam, 5.3 kV accelerating voltage and scanning $m / z$ of 1400 to 100 at $2 \mathrm{~s} /$ decade (resolution 1000). Samples were dissolved in m-nitrobenzyl alcohol. Microanalyses were performed by the Central Science Laboratory, University of Tasmania on a Carlo Eba CHNS-O EA elemental analyzer. Gas chromatography analyses were performed on a Hewlett Packard 5890 gas chromatograph fitted with an SGE $50 \mathrm{QC} 3 / \mathrm{BPl}-0.5$ capillary column.

### 3.2. Structure determinations

A unique room-temperamre diffractometer data set (T ~ 295 K ; Enraf-Nonius CAD-4 instrument, monochromatic Mo Ka radiation, $\lambda=0.71073 \AA ; 2 \theta / \theta$ scan mode; $2 \theta_{\max }=50^{\circ}$ ) as measured yielding 4252 independent reflections, 2019 with $I>3 \sigma(I)$ being considered 'observed' and used in the full-matrix leastsquares refinement ( $n_{v}=321$ ) after Gaussian absorption correction and solution of the structure by the heavy atom method. Anisoropic thermal parameters were refined for the non-hydrogen atoms ( $B$ excepted), ( $\left.x, y, z, U_{\mathrm{iso}}\right)_{\mathrm{H}}$ being constrained at estimated values.

Conventional residuals on $|F|$ at convergence $(\Delta / \sigma)_{\text {max }}=0.04 ;|\rho|_{\text {max }}=0.49 \mathrm{e} \AA^{-3} ; R$ and $R_{v,}$ both 0.053 ; sataistical weights were derivative of $\sigma^{2}(I)=$ $\sigma^{2}\left(I_{\text {diff }}\right)+0.0004 \sigma^{4}\left(I_{\text {diff }}\right)$. Neutral atom complex scattering factors were employed, computation using the xtal 3.2 program system implemented by Hall [44]. Pertinent resulis are given in the figures and tables; material deposited comprises structure factor amplitudes, thermal and hydrogen atom parameters and full molecular geometry. Apparent thermal motion was high in the vicinity of the anion but no disorder was resolvable.

### 3.2.1. Crystal / refinement data

$\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{Me})(\mathrm{Me}\right.$-picolinate $\left.)\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)\right] \mathrm{BF}_{4}=\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{BF}_{4}$ $\mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{PPd}, M=607.68$. Orthorhombic, space group Pbca, $a=19.934(3), b=18.788(6), c=14.033(4) \AA$ A, $V=5255(2) \AA \AA^{9}, \quad D_{c}(Z=8)=1.536 \mathrm{gcm}^{-3} ; \quad F(000)=$ 2448. $\mu_{\mathrm{Mo}_{0}}=8.2 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; specimen $0.20 \times 0.40 \times$ $0.43 \mathrm{~mm}^{3} ; A_{\text {min.max }}^{*}=1.15,1.25$.

### 3.3. Ligand synthesis

3.3.1. Diphenylphosphinoaceticacid-2-picolylester, $\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{PCH}_{2} \mathrm{COOCH}_{2} \mathrm{NC}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ (1)

To a solution of $\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{PCH}_{2} \mathrm{COOH}(2.00 \mathrm{~g}, 8.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $10 \mathrm{ml} \mathrm{CH} 2 \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ were added 4-dimethylaminopyridine (DMAP) ( 30 mg ) and 2-pyridylmethanol ( 0.89 g , 8.2 mmol ). After the addition of $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}^{\prime}$-dicyclohexylcarbodiimide (DCC) ( $1.75 \mathrm{~g}, 8.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ the reaction mixture was stirred for 5 min at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ after which a white precipitate formed. The mixture was stirred for another 12 h at room temperature. The precipitated urea was filtered off and the solvent removed in vacuo. The residue was treated once more with cold $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and filtered a second time to remove further precipitated urea. The ester (1) was obtained as a white to pale yellow oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 8.54(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $J=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6$-pyr- H ), $7.65-7.05\left(\mathrm{~m}, 14 \mathrm{H}, \quad H_{\text {moq }_{3}}+\right.$ $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{pyy}}$ ), $5.16\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right), 3.25\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{PCH}_{2}\right) .{ }^{4} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 170.8(\mathrm{~d}, . J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, C=0)$, 156.2-122.1 $\left(C_{\text {дгот }}+C_{\text {pyr }}\right), 67.8\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right), 35.8(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $22 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{PCH}_{2}$ ). ${ }_{1} \mathrm{P}$ NMR $\left(121 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$ ): $\delta-18.3$. IR $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right): \boldsymbol{\nu}(\mathrm{C}=0) 1731 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. Mass spectrum: $m / z 335\left[\mathrm{M}^{+}, 126\left[\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{PCH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}\right]^{+}\right.$

### 3.4. Synthesis of alkyl complexes

### 3.4.1. $\left[\mathrm{MePd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right) \mathrm{Cl}\right]_{2}$ (2a)

To a solution of $\mathrm{MePd}(\mathrm{COD}) \mathrm{Cl}(1.19 \mathrm{~g}, 4.49 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $10 \mathrm{ml} \mathrm{CH} \mathbf{2 l}_{2}$ was added one equivalent of triphenylphosphine ( $1.18 \mathrm{~g}, 4.46 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The mixture was stirred for 0.5 h at room temperature, during which time a white precipitate formed. The yellow product was filtered off, washed with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and dried in vacuo.

No suitable solvent was found to dissolve the product. Yield 93\%. Anal. Found: C, 54.52; H, 4.43. $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{PPdCl}$ Calc.: C, 54.44; H, 4.33\%.

### 3.4.2. [ $\mathrm{MePd}\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{PCH}_{2} \mathrm{COOEt}^{\mathrm{K}} \mathrm{I}^{2} \mathrm{P}\right) \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ (2b)

To a solution of MePd(COD)Cl ( $1.45 \mathrm{~g}, 5.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $10 \mathrm{ml} \mathrm{CH} 2 \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ was added one equivalent of $\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{PCH}_{2} \mathrm{COOEt}(1.49 \mathrm{~g}, 5.5 \mathrm{mmol})$. The mixture was stirred for 0.5 h at room temperature, during which time the colour changed from yellow to orange-red. The volume of the solution was reduced to ca. 3 ml . After addition of 20 ml hexane, the product was separated and washed with hexane. The orange product is soluble in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, in contrast to the comesponding complexes of $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ or diphenylphosphinoaceticacid-2-picolylester. Yield $99 \%$. Anal. Found: C, 48.02; H, 4.86. $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{PO}_{2} \mathrm{PdCl}$ Calc.: $\mathrm{C}, 47.57 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.70 \%$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 7.82-7.40(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 3.86$ (q, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{OC} \mathrm{H}_{2}$ ), $3.55(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=9.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, PCH ) $, 1.01\left(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 0.65(\mathrm{~d}$, $\left.3 \mathrm{H}, \boldsymbol{J}=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \operatorname{PdC} \mathrm{H}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right):$ $\delta 168.3$ ( CO ), $134.5-129.0(\mathrm{ArC}), 61.8\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right), 35.6$ $\left(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=22 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{PCH}_{2}\right), 14.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 6.7\left(\mathrm{PdCH}_{3}\right)$. ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR ( $121 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta$ 27.7. IR $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$ : $\nu(\mathrm{C}=0) 1728 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

### 3.4.3. $\left[\mathrm{MePd}\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{PCH}_{2} \mathrm{COOCH}_{2} \mathrm{NC}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4}-\mathrm{K}^{\prime}-\mathrm{P}\right) \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right.$ (2c)

To a solution of $\mathrm{MePd}(\mathrm{COD}) \mathrm{Cl}(0.58 \mathrm{~g}, 2.21 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $10 \mathrm{ml} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ was added one equivalent of diphenylphosphinoaceticacid-2-picolylester $(0.74 \mathrm{~g}$, 2.21 mmol ). The mixiure was stirred for 0.5 h at room temperature giving a white precipitate. The mixture was filtered and washed with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. No suitable solvent was found to dissolve the white product. Yield $67 \%$. Anal. Found: C, 46.19; H, 3.96; N, 2.46. $\left[\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{PNPdCl}\right]_{2} \cdot 2 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ Calc.: C, 45.78; $\mathbf{H}$, 4.02; N, 2.43\%.

### 3.4.4. $\left[\mathrm{MePdPPh}_{3}\left(2-\mathrm{NC}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{COOMO}^{2}{ }^{2}-\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{O}\right)\right]_{\mathrm{BF}}^{4}$ (3a)

To a suspension of $\left[\mathrm{MePd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right) \mathrm{Cl}\right]_{2}(2 \mathrm{a})(1.62 \mathrm{~g}$, 1.93 mmol ) in $20 \mathrm{ml} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ was added two equivalents of methylpicolinate ( $0.53 \mathrm{~g}, 3.86 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The mixture was stirred for 0.5 h at room temperature and then added to a suspension of $\mathrm{AgBF}_{4}(\mathbf{0 . 8 1 \mathrm { g } , 4 . 1 6 \mathrm { mmol } ) \text { in }}$ $10 \mathrm{ml} \mathrm{CH} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at room temperature. After stirring for Ih at room temperature the AgCl was filtered off through Celite yielding a pale yellow solution. The solvent was evaporated and the product washed twice with hexane and dried in vacuo to give a yellow solid. Yield $91 \%$. Anal. Found: C, 51.58; H, 4.16; N, 2.45. $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{PNO}_{2} \mathrm{PdBF}_{4}$ Calc.: C, 51.39; H, 4.15; N, $2.30 \%$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{HNMR}\left(200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 8.72(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, pyr- $H^{0}$ ), 8.30 (br s, 2H, pyr- $H^{4.5}$ ), 8.01 (br s, $1 H$, pyr- $H^{3}$ ), 7.63-7.42 (m, 15H, ArH), $3.93(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 1.09\left(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{PdCH} \mathrm{H}_{3}\right){ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}$ $\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 171.3(\mathrm{CO}), 148.5,146.0,141.3$,
131.6, 128.1 (pyridyl carbons), 134.2-128.7 (ArC), $55.8\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$, $5.74\left(\mathrm{PdCH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR ( 121 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta$ 35.8. IR $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right): \nu(\mathrm{C}=0) 1671 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. MS (LSIMS) for the cation: $m / z 520[M]^{+}, 383$ $\left[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{NC}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{COOMe}\right]^{+}, 277\left[\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{PMe}^{+}{ }^{+}\right.$.

### 3.4.5. $\mathrm{MMPPPh}_{2} \mathrm{PCH}_{2} \mathrm{COOEN} 2-\mathrm{NC}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{COOMe-} \mathrm{\kappa}{ }^{2}$ $N, O)] B F_{4}(3 b)$

To a solution of $\left[\mathrm{MePd}\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{PCH}_{2} \mathbf{C O O E t}-\mathrm{K}^{1}-\mathrm{PCCl}\right]_{2}\right.$ ( 2 b ) ( $0.30 \mathrm{~g}, 0.35 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $10 \mathrm{ml} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ was added two equivalents of methylpicolinate ( 0.096 g , 0.70 mmol ). After stirring for half an hous the colour changed from orange to yellow. This solution was then added to a suspension of $\mathrm{AgBF}_{4}$ ( $0.17 \mathrm{~g}, 0.87 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $10 \mathrm{ml} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at room temperature. After 5 min the mixture was filtered through Celite and the solvent reduced in vacuo and the yellow prodact precipitated with hexane. Yield 79\%. Anal. Found: C, 46.54; H, 4.70; N, 2.50. $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{PNO}_{4} \mathrm{PdBF}_{4}$ Calc.: C, 46.67; H, 4.41: N, 227\%. H NMR ( $200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 88.70$ (d, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, pyr- $\mathrm{H}^{6}$ ), $8.30-7.80$ (m, 3H, pyr$H^{3.45}$ ), $7.78-7.46(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 4.04\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$, $4.03\left(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right), 3.65(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=$ $\left.11 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{PCH} \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 1.08\left(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.02$ (d, $3 \mathrm{H}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \quad \mathrm{PdCH} \mathrm{H}_{3}$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 75 MHz , $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 170.1$ ( COOMe ), 167.9 (COOEt), $149.6-$ 128.1 ( ArC and pyr-C), $62.4\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right), 55.6\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$, 34.7 ( $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{d}} \mathrm{J}=27 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{PCH}_{2}$ ), $14.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 4.26$ $\left(\mathrm{PdCH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR ( $121 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 26.3 \mathrm{IR}$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right): \nu(\mathrm{C}=0) 1731 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}(\mathrm{COOEt}), 1669 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (COOMe).

### 3.4.6. IMePd(2,6-lutidine) $\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{PCH}_{2} \mathrm{COOEt}-\mathrm{K}^{2}\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{O}} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{JBF} \mathrm{F}_{4}$ (4a)

To a solution of $\left[\mathrm{MePd}\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{PCH}_{2} \mathrm{COOEt}^{\mathbf{K}} \mathrm{\kappa}^{1}-\mathrm{PCll}\right]_{2}\right.$ ( 2 b ) $(0.30 \mathrm{~g}, 0.35 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $10 \mathrm{ml} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ was added two equivalents of 2,6 -lutidine ( 0.075 g .0 .70 mtnol ). After stirring for half an hour the colour had changed from orange to yellow. This solution was then added to a suspension of $\mathrm{AgBF}_{4}(0.17 \mathrm{~g}, 0.87 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 10 ml $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at room temperature. After 5 min the mixture was filtered through Celite and the solvent reduced in vacuo and the product precipitated with hexane to give a yellow solid Yield $83 \%$. Anal. Found: C, 48.85; H, 5.19; N. 2.50. $\mathrm{C}_{7^{4}} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{PNO}_{2} \mathrm{PdBF}_{4}$ Calc.: C, 49.05; H , 4.97; N, $2.38 \%$. H NMR ( $200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $87.74-$ 7.31 (m, 11H, ArH and $\mathrm{pyr}-\mathrm{H}^{4}$ ), 7.18 (d, 2H, $J=$ $\left.7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{pyr}-\mathrm{H}^{3.5}\right), 4.05\left(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right)$, 3.62 (d, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=11 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{PCH} \mathrm{I}_{2}$, 2.95 ( $\mathrm{s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{pyr}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 1.14 (t. $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), $0.40(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=$ $\left.3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{PdCH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)=\delta 173.9$ (COOEt), 159.1-123.7 (ArC and pyr-C), $63.9\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right)$, 37.2 (d, $J=28 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{PCH}_{2}$ ), 27.0 (pyr-CH3), 14.4 $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right),-0.07\left(\mathrm{PdCH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR $(121 \mathrm{MH} 2$ $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta \mathbf{2 4 . 2}$. IR $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right): \boldsymbol{z}(\mathrm{C}=\mathbf{O}) 1638 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
3.4.7. [ $\mathrm{MePdPPh} h_{3}\left(\mathrm{Fh}_{2} \mathrm{PCH}_{2} \mathrm{COOEt}^{2}{ }^{2}-\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{O}\right) / \mathrm{BF} \mathrm{F}_{4}$ (4b)

To a solution of $\left[\mathrm{MePd}\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{PCH}_{2} \mathrm{COOEt}-\mathrm{\kappa}^{\mathrm{I}}-\mathrm{P}\right) \mathrm{Cl}\right]_{2}$ (2b) $(0.89 \mathrm{~g}, 1.04 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $10 \mathrm{ml} \mathrm{CH} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ was added two equivalents of $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}(0.54 \mathrm{~g}, 2.08 \mathrm{mmol})$. After a few minutes a precipitate started to form. This mixture was then added to a suspension of $\mathrm{AgBF}_{4}(0.41 \mathrm{~g}$, 2.10 mmol ) in $5 \mathrm{ml} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at room temperature. After 5 min the mixture was filtered through Celite and the solvent removed in vacuo to give a red-brown solid. Yield $96 \%$. Anal. Found: C, 54.52; H, 4.55. $\mathrm{C}_{35} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{PdBF}_{4}-\mathbf{0 . 5} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ Calc.: $\mathrm{C}, \mathbf{5 4 . 3 0} ; \mathbf{H}$, $4.62 \%$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 7.71-7.48$ (m, $25 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}$ ), 4.04 (dd, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=10.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{PCH}_{2}$ ), 3.95 (q, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2}$ ), 1.11 ( $\mathrm{tr}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), $0.70(\mathrm{tr}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \quad J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{PdCH}_{3}$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR} \mathrm{( } 75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 181.6$ (d, $J=15 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CO}), 135.6-127.1(\mathrm{ArC}), 66.6\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right)$, $38.8\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=28 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{PCH}_{2}\right), 14.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 0.56$ $\left(\mathrm{PdCH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR ( $121 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 24.5,16.6$ (2d. $\left.J_{\mathrm{PP}}=381 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$. $\mathbb{R}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right): \nu(\mathrm{C}=0) 1635 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

### 3.4.8. $\left[\mathrm{MePd}\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{PCH}_{2} \mathrm{COOCH}_{2} \mathrm{NC}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4}-\mathrm{K}^{3}-\right.\right.$

 P, $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{O}) / \mathrm{BF} \mathrm{F}_{4}(5 a)$A suspension of [ $\mathrm{MePd}\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{PCH}_{2} \mathrm{COOCH}_{2} \mathrm{NC}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4}-\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{K}^{1}-\mathrm{P}\right) \mathrm{Cll}_{2} \cdot 2 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(0.24 \mathrm{~g}, 0.42 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 10 ml $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ was added to a suspension of $\mathrm{AgBF}_{4}(0.09 \mathrm{~g}$, 0.46 mmol ) in $80 \mathrm{ml} \mathrm{CH} 2 \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After stirring for 0.5 h the AgCl was filtered off through Celite yielding a clear pale yellow solution. The volume was reduced to 10 ml . After complete removal of the solvent in vacuo the resulting off-white solid product could only ine party iedissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. Yield $\mathbf{9 3 \%}$. Anal. Found: C, 44.17; H, 3.75; N, 2.56. $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ PNPdBF $_{4}$ $\cdot 0.5 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ Calc.: C, 44.06; H, 3.78; N, $2.39 \%$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 8.44(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 6-pyt-H), 7.85-6.90 (m, 14H, $H_{\text {arom }}+H_{\text {pyr }}$ ), 5.87 (s, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2}$ ), 4.16 (d, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=11 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{PCH}$ ) 0.68 (d, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{PdC} \mathrm{H}_{3}$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ): $\delta 180.2(C=0), 156.5-123.6\left(C_{\text {wam }}+C_{\text {pyr }}\right), 70.4$ $\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right), 39.7\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=32 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{PCH}_{2}\right), 0.42\left(\mathrm{PdCH}_{3}\right)$. ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR ( $121 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ): $\delta$ 27.7. IR $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$ : $\nu(\mathrm{C}=0) 1655 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. MS (LSIMS) for the cationic part: $m / z 456[M]^{+}, 441 \quad\left[M-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right]^{+}, 347$ $\left[\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{CPdPPh}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}^{+}\right.$.

### 3.4.9. [MePd(Ph $\mathrm{PCH}_{2} \mathrm{COOCH}_{2} \mathrm{NC}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4}-\mathrm{K}^{2}$ P, $\mathrm{NH} \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}^{2} / \mathrm{BF} \mathrm{F}_{4}$ (5b)

A suspension of [ $\mathrm{MePd}\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{PCH}_{2} \mathrm{COOCH}_{2} \mathrm{NC}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4}-\right.$ $\kappa^{1}-\mathrm{P}^{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \cdot 2 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(0.35 \mathrm{~g}, 0.61 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $5 \mathrm{ml} \mathrm{CH} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ was added to a solution of $\mathrm{AgBF}_{4}(0.14 \mathrm{~g}, 0.71 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $5 \mathrm{ml} \mathrm{CH} 3{ }_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ at room temperature. After stirring for 0.5 h the AgCl was filtered through Celite yielding a clear pale yellow solution. The solvent was removed in vacuo leaving a white solid. The product is insoluble in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ but can be dissolved in warm $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$. Yield 75\%. Anal. Found: C, 47.07; H. 4.05; N, 4.89.
$\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{PNPdBF}_{4} \cdot \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ Calc.: C, 47.25; $\mathrm{H}, 4.14$; $\mathrm{N}, 4.79 \%$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ): $\delta 8.82$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, 6 -pyr-H), 8.07 (s, 1H, pyr-H), 7.85-7.50 (m, 14H, $H_{\text {arom }}+H_{\text {py }}$ ), $5.86\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right), 3.99(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=$ $\left.10 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{PC} H_{2}\right), 0.52\left(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{PdC} H_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ): $\delta 170.3(\mathrm{C}=\mathbf{0}$ ), 157.2-124.1 $\left(C_{\text {arom }}+C_{\text {pyr }}\right), 68.0\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right), 34.8(\mathrm{~d}, \quad J=34 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.\mathrm{PCH}_{2}\right), 0.21\left(\mathrm{PdCH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR ( $121 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ): $\delta$ 32.1. IR $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right): \nu(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}) 1736 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

### 3.5. Synthesis of acetyl complexes

The corresposiding acetyl complexes of complexes 3-4 were prepared in situ by bubbling carbon monoxide through a solution of the methyl palladium complex in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ in an NMR tube. The carbonylation was followed by ${ }^{1}$ H NMR and was usually complete within 5 min .

### 3.5.1. $\left[\mathrm{MeCOPdPPh}_{3}\left(2-\mathrm{NC}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{COOMe}^{2}-\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{O}\right) / \mathrm{CF}_{4}\right.$

 (6a)${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 8.16$ (br s, 1 H , pyr-H), 7.70-7.43 (m, 15H, ArH), 3.99 (br s, 3H, $\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ ), $\left.2.25(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{PdCOCH})_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{CNMR}$ $\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \quad \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 221.0\left(\mathrm{PdCOCH}_{3}\right), 170.3$ ( COOM ), $150.2-127.7$ (pyridyl carbons +ArC ), 55.5 $\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 35.6\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{PdCOCH} \mathrm{H}_{3}\right) .{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR ( $121 \mathrm{MHz}_{1} \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta$ 24.2. IR $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right): \nu(\mathrm{C}=\mathbf{O})$ $1721 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{PdCOCH}_{3}\right), 1673 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (COOMe).
3.5.2. [MeCOPdPh $\mathrm{PCH}_{2} \mathrm{COOEt} 2-\mathrm{NC}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{COOMe}$ -$\left.\kappa^{2}-N, O\right) / B F_{4}(6 b)$
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 8.52,8.26,8.14$ (br $\mathrm{s}, 4 \mathrm{H}$, pyr- H ), $7.81-7.50(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar} H), 4.03(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ ), $3.97\left(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right.$ ), $3.59(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}$, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{PCH}$ ), 2.24 (br s, 3H, PdCOCH $\mathrm{H}_{3}$ ), 1.04 ( (r, 3H, $J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NwiR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta$ $221.3\left(\mathrm{PdCOCH}_{3}\right), 168.8$ ( COOMe ), 168.0 ( COOEt ), 150.7-127.6 (pyr-C and $\operatorname{ArC}$ ), $62.4\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right), 55.3$ $\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 36.2(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=15 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{PdCOCH} 3), 34.7(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=$ $21 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{PCH}$ ), $14.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR ( 121 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta$ 14.5. IR $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right): \nu(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}) 1726 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (COOEt), $1715 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{PdCOCH}_{3}\right), 1673 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (COOMe).

### 3.5.3. [MeCOPd(2.6-lutidine) $\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{PCH}_{2} \mathrm{COOEt}-\mathrm{K}^{2}\right.$ $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{C}}, \mathbf{O} / \mathrm{IBF}_{4}(7 a)$ <br> ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 7.67-7.26(\mathrm{~m}, 11 \mathrm{H}$,

 ArH and pyr- $H^{4}$ ), $7.15\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{pyr}-\mathrm{H}^{3.5}\right)$, $4.09\left(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, O C \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 3.46(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=$ $9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{PCH} \mathrm{H}_{2}$ ) 3.04 (s, 6H, pyr-C $\mathrm{H}_{3}$ ), 1.80 (s, 3 H , $\left.\mathrm{PdCOCH} \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 1.15\left(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \quad \mathrm{J}=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 222.5\left(\mathrm{PdCOCH}_{3}\right), 172.7$ (COOEt), 159.7, 140.1, 123.8 (pyr-C), 133.7, 132.2, $129.7(\mathrm{ArC}), 63.8\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right), 37.0(\mathrm{~d}, \quad J=24 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{PdCOCH}_{3}$ ), 36.3 (d, $J=30 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{PCH}_{2}$ ), 26.7 (pyr$\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 14.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR ( $121 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ):$\delta$ 12.4. IR $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right): \nu(\mathrm{C}=0) 1710 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{PdCOCH}_{3}\right)$, $1641 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (COOE).
3.5.4. [MeCOPd $\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{PCH}_{2} \mathrm{COOEt}_{\left.-\mathrm{K}^{2}-\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{O}\right)}\right) \mathrm{BF} \mathrm{F}_{4}$ (7)
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 7.80-7.43(\mathrm{~m}, 25 \mathrm{H}$, $\operatorname{Ar} H), 4.08\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{PCH} \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 3.85(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \quad$ OC $H_{2}$ ), $\quad 1.70(\mathrm{~L}, \quad 3 \mathrm{H}, \quad J=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, PdCOCH $\mathrm{H}_{3}$ ), $1.06\left(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \quad \mathrm{J}=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 219.4\left(\mathrm{PdCOCH}_{3}\right), 179.1$ (d, $J=13 \mathrm{~Hz}, C O O E t), 135.2-127.4(\mathrm{ArC}), 65.3$ $\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right), 36.6(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=18 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{PdCOCH} 3$ ), $36.2(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $\left.26 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathbf{P C H})^{2}\right), 13.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR ( 121 MHz , $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\boldsymbol{\delta} 17.6,6.61\left(2 \mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{PP}}=245 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$. IR $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$ : $\nu(\mathrm{C}=0) 1714 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{PdCOCH}_{3}\right), 1639 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}(\mathrm{COOEt})$.

### 3.6. Determination of reaction rates for the carbonylation reaction

A 10 ml sample tube containing 0.08 mmol of palladium complex and a magnetic stirrer har was affixed to the inside of a 250 ml round bottom flask. The flask was flushed with a steady stream of $\mathbf{C O}$ for $30 \mathrm{~min} . \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ( 3 ml ) containing TMS and presaturated with CO was injected into the sample tube at $t_{0}$. Concentrations of palladium complexes were 0.018 M . Aliquots of 0.3 ml were taken at regular time intervals and analysed by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR. For the duration of the experiment all experimental apparatus, reagents/solvents and the NMR spectrometer were maintained at 253 K .

### 3.7. Copolymerisation of carbon monoxide and ethene

A solution of the complex ( $0.05-0.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( 40 ml ) was transferred via a syringe into a 350 ml steel antoclave, which was equipped with a glass inlet and a magnetic stiming bar. The autoclave was pressurised first with carbon monoxide (20bar), then ethene ( 20 bar) was introduced and the mixture stimred for the given reaction time. Except for the reactions at room temperature the autoclave was heated in an oil bath Afier the appropriate time the excess ethene/CO was released. The reaction mixture was filtered on a frit, the solid residue was dried and weighed. The alternating structure of the polyketone obtained was confirmed by microanalysis, $\mathbb{I}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR in $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{COOH}$. The filtrate was analysed by gas chromatogrephy.
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[^0]:    - Corresponding author.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ In $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ solution.
    ${ }^{4}$ In $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ solution.

[^2]:    - Concticions: $40 \mathrm{ml} \quad \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$; initial $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{CO})=20 \mathrm{bar}$; initial $p$ (echene) $=20 \mathrm{bar}$.
    $40 \mathrm{ml} \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$.
    ${ }^{6} 20 \mathrm{ml} \mathrm{CH} \mathbf{C l}_{2} / 20 \mathrm{ml} \mathrm{MeOH}$.

